1

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

Single Bench

WRIT PETITION NO. 645 OF 2005

PETITIONER

K. P. Patel, S/o Late Shri Ram Sahnkar Patel, aged about 59½ years, working as Executive Engineer (~~Civil~~), Civil Water Resources Department, Jashpur Nagar [Chhattisgarh]

P.R. No. 6462/05
Presented by Shri Saurabh Sharma
dated 19/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chief Engineer, Hasdeo Kachhar, Water Resources Department,Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. B. M. Narang, Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, Jashpurnagar

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
19/12/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No 6415/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

8-5-2006

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Deputy Advocate General for the State.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has questioned the order of transfer Annexure-P/5 dated 22.9.2005.

The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is working as Executive Engineer. Vide impugned order he has been attached with the office of Chief Engineer, Hasdeo Kachchar, Bilaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is on the verge of retirement and he is going to attain the age of superannuation on 31st May 2006. The petitioner has already made a representation to the State Government but the same is not being considered and decided by the State Government, therefore, the State Government be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable, therefore, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner by 20th May 2006 because the petitioner is going to attain the age of superannuation on 31st May 2006.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.5091/2005 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

[पीछे देखिये